UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/552,464	10/07/2005	Kozo Murao	279302US0PCT	2239
22850 OBLON, SPIV	7590 12/27/2007 AK. MCCLELLAND MA	JER & NEUSTADT P.C.	EXAM	INER
1940 DUKE S'	TREET	D MATER & NEUSTADT, P.C. LISTVOYB, GREGORY	GREGORY	
ALEXANDRI	A, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/27/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/552,464	MURAO ET AL.				
On	fice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Gregory Listvoyb	1796				
<i> The ۱</i> Period for Repl	MAILING DATE of this communication ap y	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
VHICHEVEI - Extensions of ti after SIX (6) M - If NO period for - Failure to reply Any reply recei	NED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL R IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D ime may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. or reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut wed by the Office later than three months after the mailing erm adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	OATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communicati D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠ Respo	nsive to communication(s) filed on 18 (October 2007.					
·		s action is non-final.					
· <u> </u>	this application is in condition for allowa		secution as to the merits	is			
•	in accordance with the practice under	•					
Disposition of (Claims			•			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application	1.					
	the above claim(s) is/are withdra						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.						
/ ·	s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Pap	pers		•				
9)□ The so	ecification is objected to by the Examin	er					
•	·		Examiner.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
· — Priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119		·				
· ·	vledgment is made of a claim for foreigr b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
•	Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.					
	Certified copies of the priority documen		on No				
	•	• •	,				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
	attached detailed Office action for a list		ed.				
Attachment(s)							
	rences Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notice of Draft	tsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
	sclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) lail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ratent Application				
1 apri 140(3)/14		٠, ١ ٥ ١٠٠٠٠ ١٠٠٠٠٠٠٠٠٠٠٠٠٠٠٠٠٠٠٠٠٠٠٠٠٠٠					

10/552,464 Art Unit: 1796

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-15 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hwang et al (Biotransformation of Acrylonitrile, Biotechnology and Bioengineering, vol 34 pp 380-386 (1989)), herein Hwang (cited in a previous Office Action) in combination with Abe et al (US patent 5476883) herein Abe, Ishii et al (US patent 6043061) herein Ishii (cited in a previous Office Action) and Murao et al (WO 02/50297 and US publication 2004/0048348) herein Murao (cited in a previous Office Action)

Hwang discloses a method for producing an acrylamide polymer comprising hydrating of acrylonitrile (ACN) with following enzymatic conversion of ACN to acrylamide and polymerizing monomers containing the acrylamide (p.381-382).

Application/Control Number:

10/552,464

Art Unit: 1796

The enzymatic method carried out using microbial cells of a Nitrile Hydrataze as a catalyst (p.380-381).

Hwang does not disclose that concentration of Oxazole is less than 5 mg/kg or less and Hydrogen Cyanide concentration is 1 mg/kg or less.

Abe discloses a preparation process of Acrylamide from purified Acrylonitrile with following polymerization to Acrylamide polymer (see Example 1), where Oxazole is completely removed from Acrylonitrile (See Table 1, Example 1, where Oxazole is not detected with detection limit of 1.0 mg/kg (ppm)). Abe teaches that Acrylonitrile undergoes a purification procedure (see column 8, line 35), where Oxazole concentration reduces from 25 mg/kg to non-detectable limit (below 1 mg/kg) (see Table 1). Abe discloses that acrylamide required to be promptly dissolved in water with only trace amount of unreacted toxic monomer permitted (see Column 1, line 35).

Note that both Application and Abe teach that oxazole does not participate in the polymerization process, but contributes to water insoluble unreacted monomer (see Spec pages 2 and 3), affecting color (Spec) and toxicity (Abe) of the polymer.

Therefore, the presence of oxazole as an impurity of the starting material is undesirable in any process of acrylamide production.

Art Unit: 1796

Abe teaches that Acrylamide, which has been synthesized by subjecting the Acrylonitrile to hydration has higher stability and when polymerized, provides an aqueous solution of higher viscosity compared with Acrylamide synthesized likewise from oxazole-containing Acrylonitrile (Column 2, line 20).

Ishii teaches a process for producing Acrylamide by enzymatically hydrating

Acrylonitrile (see Example 1), where concentration of Hydrogen Cyanide is equal or

less than 1 mg/kg (see Examples 1-3 and Tables 1-3).

Ishii teaches that decreasing a concentration of Hydrogen cyanide leads to lowering a deactivation rate of an enzyme (See Column 6, line 65).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skills in the art at the time the invention was made to use Acrylonitrile with Oxazole concentration of 5 mg/kg or less and Hydrogen Cyanide concentration is 1 mg/kg or less in order to produce polyacrylamide with high viscosity and achieve higher catalytic activity of the enzyme (which relates to Hydrogen Cyanide) and to decrease unsoluble toxic monomer content in the polymer (which relates to Oxazole).

Hwang does not disclose that the reaction carries until the concentration of Acrylamide riches at least 30% by mass or more.

Art Unit: 1796

Murao teaches an enzymatic process of Acrylonitrile conversion to Acrylamide at the presence of microbial cell of a Nitride Hydrates, where reaction carries until Acrylamide concentration reaches 45% mass (see Example 1).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skills in the art at the time the invention was made to carry out the conversion of Acrylonitrile to Acrylamide until Acrylamide reaches the concentration of 30% mass or more in order to make economically sound process.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 10/18/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Abe's process uses copper catalyst instead of enzyme. However, as discussed above, both Application and Abe teach that oxazole does not participate in the polymerization process, but contributes to water insoluble unreacted monomer (see Spec pages 2 and 3), affecting color (Spec) and toxicity (Abe) of the polymer. Therefore, the presence of oxazole as an impurity of the starting material is undesirable in any process of acrylamide production.

The Applicant's data that Oxazole and Hydrogen Cyanide affect color and solubility of the polymer are deficient.

Application/Control Number:

10/552,464

Art Unit: 1796

First, concentrations of the impurities are not commensurate in scope with teaching of the references presented, which considered the closest prior art.

Comparative Examples show Oxazole concentration range of less or equal to 5 to 10 ppm and HCN range of 0.7-10 ppm, whereas Ishii and Abe disclose less than 1 ppm concentration of the above components.

Second, Example presented is not commensurate in scope with Claim 1, where Oxazole concentration is less than 5 ppm and HCN concentration is less than 1 ppm.

Third, Prior Art presented shows lower impuritiy concentration than presented in the Table.

In addition, the reason given by Ishii teaching that Hydrogen Cyanide is poisoning the catalyst is a sufficient motivation for an artisan to purify the starting materials from Hydrogen Cyanide.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

10/552,464

Art Unit: 1796

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregory Listvoyb whose telephone number is (571) 272-6105. The examiner can normally be reached on 10am-7pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on (571) 272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/552,464

Art Unit: 1796

Page 8

Gregory Listvoyb Examiner Art Unit 1796

GL

RABON SERGENT PRIMARY EXAMINER